

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

1/3

JUL 13 2005

32692

Customer Number

Patent
Case No.: 55841US002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: FISCHER, RICHARD M. JR.

Application No.: 10/028601 Group Art Unit: 2872

Patent No.: 6859309 Issue Date: February 22, 2005

Filed: December 19, 2001 Examiner: Pritchett, Joshua

Title: OPTICAL FILTERS FOR MANIPULATING SPECTRAL POWER DISTRIBUTION
IN ACCELERATED WEATHERING DEVICES**SECOND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF
PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT**

Mail Stop Petition
**SPECIAL PROCESSING
SUBMISSION**
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
<input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306.	
Date	Signed by: Amber Nicholson

[Handwritten signature over the certificate box]

Dear Sir:

On March 23, 2005, a "Request for Reconsideration of Patent Term Adjustment" was submitted, a copy of which is attached. To date no response to this request has been received.

Based on inquiries made by the Applicant, it is believed that this delay was caused by the USPTO improperly trying to enter a Preliminary Amendment for a continuation case in this patent case. Accordingly, this delay was not caused by the Applicant.

The difference between the day this patent should have issued (August 14, 2004) and the day it actually issued (February 22, 2005) is 191 days.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/028601

Case No.: 55841US002

The Applicant respectfully requests that the patent term adjustment to be extended to 191 days.

The Director is hereby authorized to charge any required fee to Deposit Account No. 13-3723.

Respectfully submitted,

7-12-05
Date

By: 
Carolyn A. Fischer, Reg. No.: 39,091
Telephone No.: (651) 575-3915

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

JUL-13-2005 12:47 PM

3M 220-9W-01

6515753462

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

3/3

JUL 13 2005

Mar-23-2005 08:03 AM 3M 220-9W-01 6515753462

1

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

32692

Customer Number

MAR 23 2005

Patent

Case No.: 55841US002

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: FISCHER, RICHARD M. JR.

Application No.: 10/028601 Group Art Unit: 2872

Patent No.: 6859309 Issue Date: February 22, 2005

Filed: December 19, 2001 Examiner: Pritchett, Joshua

Title: OPTICAL FILTERS FOR MANIPULATING SPECTRAL POWER DISTRIBUTION
IN ACCELERATED WEATHERING DEVICES

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (37 CFR § 1.8(a))	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:	
<input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (703) 872-9306.	
Date:	Signed by: Amber Nicholson

23 March 2005

Dear Sir:

On April 14, 2004 the "Part B-Fee(s) Transmittal" form for the above-identified patent was faxed and received by the Patent & Trademark Office.

On February 7, 2005 Applicant received the attached Issue Notification having a Patent Term Adjustment of 27 days.

The USPTO failed to issue the patent within 4 months (i.e., August 14, 2004) of the date the issue fee was paid.

Based on inquiries made by the Applicant, it is believed that this delay was caused by the USPTO improperly trying to enter a Preliminary Amendment for a continuation case in this patent case. Accordingly, this delay was not caused by the Applicant.

The difference between the day this patent should have issued (August 14, 2004) and the day it actually issued (February 22, 2005) is 191 days.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY